



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,923	05/03/2005	Krishna Prasad Panje	IN 020005	6086
24737 7	590 06/20/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INT	ELLECTUAL PROPE	LY, NGHI H		
P.O. BOX 300	. 3001 LIFF MANOR、NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF	MANOK, NT 10310		2617	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/533,923	PANJE, KRISHNA PRASAD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nghi H. Ly	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ap	oril 2006.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> = <i>'</i> -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·		33 3.3. 2.3.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/533,923

Art Unit: 2617

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merriam (US 6,408,187) in view of Schmidt et al (US 6,516,200).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Merriam teaches a method of presenting an information item on a mobile device (see column 1, lines 7-53), the method comprising the steps of: retrieving an identification of at least one of user gear and apparel (see column 1, lines 56 to column 2, lines 51, see "belt" and it reads on applicant's "apparel"), the apparel providing the mobile device with information on the user's context or environment (see column 7, lines 54-61, see "environmental"), determining a mode reflecting at least one attribute of identified gear and apparel and optionally sending the mode with an identification of the mobile device to a service provider (see column 6, lines 32-45), and determining and presenting the information item dependent on the mode (see column 1, lines 56 to column 2, lines 51 and column 6, lines 32-45),

receiving a first message from a caller sent to the mobile device (see column 6, lines 21-31, see "incoming communication", and column 6, lines 31-45, see "contact").

Merriam does not specifically disclose determining a second message dependent on the mode, when the first message is received and sending the second message to the caller as a response to the first message.

Schmidt teaches determining a second message dependent on the mode (see column 2, lines 42-47, Schmidt's "appropriate response" reads on applicant's "a second message" and Schmidt's "flag characters" reads on applicant's "mode"), when the first message is received and sending the second message to the caller as a response to the first message (also see column 2, lines 42-47, Schmidt's "appropriate response" reads on applicant's "a second message" and Schmidt's "flag characters" reads on applicant's "mode").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Schmidt into the system of Merriam in order to provide a method of handling group calls in a communications system (see Schmidt, column 1, lines 7-11).

Regarding claim 3, Merriam further teaches the step of determining a second message comprises the steps of: sending the mode with an identification of the mobile device to a service provider (see column 6, lines 32-45), and determining the second message based on the received mode and the identification of the mobile device on the service provider (also see column 6, lines 32-45).

Application/Control Number: 10/533,923 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Merriam further teaches modifying at least one attribute of gear and apparel (see column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 7).

Regarding claim 5, Merriam further teaches the mobile device is a personal digital assistant, palm top, cell phone or a mobile phone (column 1, lines 11-37, see "mobile phone").

Regarding claim 5, Merriam further teaches a computer program product comprising program code means stored on a computer readable medium for performing the method of any one of claims 1 through 5 when the computer program is run on a computer (see column 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 9, Merriam further teaches sending the mode with an for determining a second message comprises: identification of the mobile device to a service provider, where the service provider determines the second message (see column 5, line 64 to column 6, line 4 and column 6, lines 32-45).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 9 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2617

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,923 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nghi H. Ly

CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY EXAMINER